

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/711,795	10/06/2004	Chun-Yang Lin	ACMP0164USA	5794
27765 7.	590 01/10/2006		EXAMINER	
NORTH AMERICA INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY CORPORATION			PATEL, ISHWARBHAI B	
P.O. BOX 506 MERRIFIELD, VA 22116			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		2841	
			DATE MAILED: 01/10/2000	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<del></del>		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/711,795	LIN ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Ishwar (I. B.) Patel	2841
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
WHI( - Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some ply received by the Office later than three months after the new patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH tatute, cause the application to become ABAN	ATION. y be timely filed S from the mailing date of this communication. IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
1)⊠ 2a)□ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2 This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice und	This action is non-final.  Dwance except for formal matters	
Disposit	ion of Claims		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ <b>Applicat</b> 9)□	Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction are subject to restriction are subjected to by the Example of the drawing(s) filed on 06 October 2004 is/	nd/or election requirement.	ected to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corn The oath or declaration is objected to by the	the drawing(s) be held in abeyance rection is required if the drawing(s)	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documed Certified copies of the priority documed Copies of the certified copies of the priority documed Copies of the certified copies of the priority documed Copies of the certified copies of the priority documed Copies of the certified copies of the priority documed Copies of the certified copies of the priority documed Copies of the certified copies of the priority documed Copies of t	nents have been received.  nents have been received in App priority documents have been re reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Stage
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Inforr	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB r No(s)/Mail Date		nmary (PTO-413) fail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)

# **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of group I, claims 1-7 in the reply filed on December 22, 2005 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)). The applicant cancelled non-elected claims 8-13.

# **Priority**

Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35
 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d). The certified copy has been received and placed of record in the file.

#### **Drawings**

3. The drawings are objected to because the figures are improperly cross hatched. All of the parts shown in section, and only those parts, must be cross-hatched. The cross-hatching patterns should be selected from those shown on page 600-114/115 of the MPEP based on the material of the part. The metal layer in plan view may be shown with shading. See also 37 CFR 1.84(h)(3) and MPEP § 608.02.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure

Application/Control Number: 10/711,795 Page 3

Art Unit: 2841

number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lin (US Patent No. 6,534,852).

Regarding claim 1, Lin, in figure 3, discloses a printed circuit board (PCB) comprising: a plastic substrate (302); and a circuit layout formed on the plastic substrate, having a first layout (309, 307) and a second layout (306), wherein the second layout comprises a pseudo-layout to prevent the PCB from being bent when heated.

**Regarding claim 2**, Lin further discloses density of circuits of the second layout has a lower circuit density than that of the first layout (see figure 3).

**Regarding claim 3**, Lin further discloses the circuit layout comprises signal traces and power traces (309,307), the pseudo-layout is isolated from the signal traces and the power traces on the PCB (306 is isolated from 309,307).

**Regarding claim 4**, Lin further discloses the pseudo-layout comprises a plurality of pseudo-traces (306) neither for power nor signal transmission.

**Regarding claim 5**, Lin further discloses the pseudo-traces are parallel to each other in a netlike structure (306, net like structure and traces parallel to each other).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Page 5

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claims 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lin as applied to claim 5 above, and further in view of Valluri (US Patent No. 6,507,100).

Regarding claims 6 and 7, Lin discloses all the features of the claimed invention including the netlike structure of the parallel pseudo-traces having an interval distance as applied to claim 5 above, but does disclose the interval distance is 5 mil as claimed in claim 6 and the width of the pseudo-traces is 5 mil, as claimed in claim 7. Lin discloses the interval distance of 200  $\mu$ m (about 8 mil) and width of the pseudo-traces as 100  $\mu$ m (3.9 mil).

Valluri, in figure 3 and 5, discloses functional pattern (31,32) and non-functional (dummy) pattern (33, detail shown in figure 5) and further recites that the dummy patterns have a width of about 70 to 100  $\mu$ m and a spacing of about 80  $\mu$ m to 300  $\mu$ m (3.12 mil to 11.7 mil) to balance metallization to reduce warpage and bending of the board (column 5, line 13-30).

A person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention would have been motivated to select the interval and width of the pseudo-traces (dummy pattern) to have desired balance of metallization to reduce warpage and bending of the board.

Further, it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Boesch*, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to provide the structure of Lin with the limitations as claimed in claims 6 and 7, in order to balance metallization to reduce warpage and bending of the board.

## Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Chang (US Patent No. 6,835,897), in figure 1 and 2, discloses a circuit board with functional traces (35) and non-functional, dummy, traces (36).

Chang (US Patent No. 6,684,434), in figure 3 and 4, discloses a circuit board with functional traces (320) and non-functional, dummy, traces (330).

Lin (US Patent No. 6,204,559), in figure 5 and 6, discloses a circuit board with functional traces (309,307) and non-functional, dummy, traces (308).

Kazuhisa (Japanese Patent No. JP401300590A) disclose a warpage preventing board with dummy patterns (see abstract).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ishwar (I. B.) Patel whose telephone number is (571) 272 1933. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30 - 5:00).

Application/Control Number: 10/711,795 Page 7

Art Unit: 2841

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kamand Cuneo can be reached on (571) 272 1957. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ishwar (I. B.) Patel

Examiner

Art Unit: 2841 January 9, 2006